Building an Instructional Snapshot

Northridge Middle School

Principal: Rachel Vallance

Email: vallancer@mcsin-k12.org

Team Members: Caleb Mast & Chance Starcher

Background Leading to this Inquiry

- At the summer seminar, we heard a presentation about developing an instructional snapshot and determined this would be a benefit to our school.
- Based on level 2 survey results
- Discovered indicator 2.1 "The school leaders and teacher leaders have developed a written document articulating our schoolwide model of instruction."
- We realized we did not have a written document articulating our school-wide model of instruction.

Purpose of This Inquiry

Therefore, the purpose of our action inquiry was to create a solid foundation on which to build a progressive snapshot of Northridge Middle School's instructional practices.

Our Wondering

How will identifying the current instructional snapshot build a common tool box of best practice instruction in our school?

Our Actions

October 17

Staff Meeting - Individual Instructional Model Snapshot Handout Due

December 1

Wondering Statement

January 30

 Grade Level Department (Data Team) - Instructional Model Comparison and Merging Document

February 1

Strategies chart posted in the lounge

March 6

Department Meeting Comparison of Snapshots and Merger

March 13

Whole staff instructional model hand-in and conversation

April

Data Collection & Analysis

Data Collection

What do you expect instructionally in your classroom? Language Arts

Should See and Hear	Might See and Hear	Should Never See or
Almost Daily	But Not Daily	Hear
Interaction & Collaboration (student-student) Questioning Strategies Resources/Class Materials available for all students Academic Conversations Active Learning and Engagement Focused Discussions Learning Goals (Standard-Based) Clear classroom routines High Expectations for All Students Informal Assessments Teacher Withitness Time cues for finishing tasks /transitions Teacher feedback Whole group/small group instruction Large assignments chunked to monitor progress and check for understanding Use of non-verbal cues for redirection	Due dates, assignments, instructions, and materials posted 1-1 feedback Tracking Data Teacher Collaboration (Teacher) Students clarifying questions from teacher Guiding questions to aid in the gradual release of responsibility Academic Games Working Independently Teacher effort to understand backgrounds interests Celebrating Major Students Successes Modifications and differentiation Academic Vocabulary Formal Assessments Opportunities for students to talk about themselves (in relation to the content) Revision of writing Using homework as reinforcement of learning Multi-step tasks that increase DOK Use of technology tools to increase the availability of accommodations and differentiation Reading and writing integrated with core class content Visual models of writing tasks/instruction Data-driven instruction and assignment	Students humiliated and/or disrespect of students Disregard of safety and school rules "I don't know" or "I can't do this" Heads on desks Shut up Students allowed to refuse to try Students allowed to continue to disrupt other students learning. Power struggles Unengaged teacher Time filler assignments

What do you expect instructionally in your classroom? Math

Should See and Hear	Might See and Hear	Should Never See or
Almost Daily	But Not Daily	Hear
Warm-up problems that review previously taught standards Review the assignment from the previous day Present a new lesson on a math standard Give a practice assignment Attention to common mistakes with intent to stopping those mistakes from happening Pushing students to give their best effort daily Tracking Student Progress Celebrating Success Identifying Critical Information Organizing Students to Interact with New Knowledge Chunking Content into 'Digestible Bites' Recording and Representing Knowledge Organizing Students to Practice and Deepen Knowledge Revising Knowledge Revising Knowledge Providing Resources and Guidance Re-engaging Students who Are Not Engaged Maintaining a Lively Pace Demonstrating Intensity and Enthusiasm Demonstrating 'Withitness' Applying Consequences for Lack of Adherence to Rules and Procedures Acknowledging Adherence to Rules and Procedures Using Verbal and Nonverbal Behaviors that	Using Academic Games Game style activities to increase understanding and give more practice Partner or group work to create dialogue about a topic Mixed ability groups and sometimes groups with similar abilities so I can work with small groups on specific weaknesses Informal assessments like "exit slips/tickets" or "entrance tickets" Formal/Summative assessments Reminders of rules and classroom procedures Cross-curricular discussions Explanations and encouragements to promote a growth rather than a fixed mindset Practice given through digital means Problem solving activities both on paper and digitally Goal setting as pertains to NWEA testing as well as their general progress in math Conversations about what they might decide to do once they graduate from high school. Could be college, trade school, or work references. Remediation Individual discussion with students about poor performance on assessments	Organizing the Physical Layout of the Classroom

Should See and Hear Almost Daily		Frequency	Might See and	Hear	Frequency	Should Never See or Hear	Frequency
Bellringer/warm ups		9	1-on-1 Interactions with Students		4	Acceptance of "I Don't Know"	2
Building relationships		4	Academic Games		6	Acceptance of Disengaged Students	2
Content Area Vocab Used		7	Character Education		2	Acceptance of Poor Student Behavior	1
Disecting Content		2	Clear Deadline		1	Bullying	1
_				ar Collaboration			1
High Expectations for all students		4			5	Disengaged Teacher	
Informal Assessment		2	Formative Asse		7	Disregard of School Rules	5
Monitoring student progress		2	Independent W	ork Time	4	Filler Assignments	4
Positive Reinforcement		5	Modifications/D	ifferentiation	7	Horseplay	3
Redirection - Verbal/Non-Verbal		3	Project-Based	Learning	1	Inappropriate Language	3
Resources available to students		1	Real World App	olications	2	Ridicule of Students	10
Review - Checking for Understanding		4	Remediation		7	Student Favoritism	2
Scaffolding		2		oration (Groups)	8	Unacceptance of Student Work	1
-		7			4		
Standards - Alignment w/ Standards		·	Student Reflec		1	Unsafe Learning Environment	8
Student Engagement		4	Student Setting	Goals	3	Unstructured Time	1
Student Interaction		1	Summative Ass	sesments	4		
Student/Peer Interaction		5	Teacher Modeling w/ visual stimuli		3		
Teacher communicates daily agenda		9	Technology (Us	se of)	12		
Teacher communicates daily goals			Tracking Data - Test Scores		6		
Teacher Communicates Expectations of A	All Students (Rules)	5					
Teacher feedback to students	iii otaaonto (rtaioo)	5					
Teacher Withitness		1					
		1					
Whole class instruction		4					
Should See and Hear Almos		t Daily	Obs. Frequency	Might See and Hear	Obs. Frequency	Should Never See or Hear Obs. Frequency	
	Bellringer/warm ups	•		1-on-1 Interactions with Stu		Acceptance of "I Don't Know"	
	Building relationships			Academic Games		Acceptance of Disengaged Students	
	Content Area Vocab Used Disecting Content			Character Education Clear Deadlines for Projects		Acceptance of Poor Student Behavior Bullying	
	High Expectations for all stude	ents		Cross-Curricular Collaboration Formative Assessment		Disengaged Teacher	
	Informal Assessment	,,,,,				Disregard of School Rules	
Monitoring student progress				Independent Work Time		Filler Assignments	
	Positive Reinforcement			Modifications/Differentiation		Horseplay	
	Redirection - Verbal/Non-Verb			Project-Based Learning		Inappropriate Language	
	Resources available to studen			Real World Applications Remediation		Ridicule of Students Student Favoritism	
Review - Checking for Understanding Scaffolding Standards - Alignment w/ Standards Student Engagement Student Interaction Student/Peer Interaction Teacher communicates daily agenda Teacher communicates daily agenda Teacher Communicates daily goals Teacher Feedback to students Teacher Withitness Whole class instruction				Student Collaboration (Grou	ips)	Unacceptance of Student Work	
				Student Reflection		Unsafe Learning Environment	
				Student Setting Goals		Unstructured Time	
				Summative Assesments			
				Teacher Modeling w/ visual	stimuli		
		<u> </u>		Technology (Use of)			
			es)	Tracking Data - Test Scores			
		(Nu	/				

Our Data

Should See and Hear Almost Daily	Obs. Frequency	Freq from Staff Meet.	
Scaffolding	15	2	
Teacher communicates daily goals	15	2	
Disecting Content	19	2	
Teacher Communicates Expectations of All Students (Rules)	19	5	
Bellringer/warm ups	20	9	
Informal Assessment	20	2	
Teacher communicates daily agenda	21	9	
High Expectations for all students	23	4	
Resources available to students	25	1	
Student/Peer Interaction	25	5	
Monitoring student progress	26	2	
Building relationships	28	4	
Content Area Vocab Used	28	7	
Positive Reinforcement	28	5	
Standards - Alignment w/ Standards	28	7	
Review - Checking for Understanding	29	4	
Whole class instruction	29	4	
Redirection - Verbal/Non-Verbal	30	3	
Student Interaction	30	1	
Teacher feedback to students	31	5	
Teacher Withitness	35	1	
Student Engagement	36	4	

Data Continued

12

Technology (Use of)

22

Obs. Frequency	Freq from Staff Meet			
1	4 s	hould Never See or Hear =	bs. Frequenc =	reg from Staff Meel \Xi
2	2 A	cceptance of "I Don't Know"	0	2
3	1 -	<u> </u>	0	1
3	3 -	, ,	-	5
4	7 -			
4	l 6 -			4
5	l 6 -			3
5	1 -		0	3
5	5 S	tudent Favoritism	0	2
6	7	nacceptance of Student Work	0	1
7	1 0	nsafe Learning Environment	0	8
8	7 D	isengaged Teacher	1	1
10	3 R	idicule of Students	1	10
11	8 U	nstructured Time	1	1
13	4 A	cceptance of Disengaged Students	3	2
16	2 A	cceptance of Poor Student Behavior	4	1
21	4			
	1 2 3 3 4 4 4 5 5 5 6 7 8 10 11 13	1 4 S A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	2	1

Our Discoveries

- We learned that our perception does not always match our reality.
- Our staff is willing to engage in conversation of teaching and learning.
- 3. Learned a new way to run staff meetings.

Where We Are Heading Next

- Formalize what our instructional snapshot looks like
- Ensure indicator 2.1 is a more cohesive picture
 - Provides staff with the ability to verbalize instructional practices and allows new teachers a tangible document helping them to understand our school's best practices.
 - Share common nomenclature among staff
- Teachers observing other teachers during instruction
- Reflection
 - McREL Implementation Changed

Bibliography

Marzano, R. J., Warrick, P., & Simms, J. A. (2014). A handbook for high reliability schools: The next step in school reform. Bloomington, IN: Marzano Research Laboratory.